## July 22 (Lecture 18)

**Overview:** We'll continue looking at eigenvalues and eigenvectors of matrices. Then, we'll use them to formalize what we meant when we said "this basis was *nice* for a given matrix".

## Learning Goals:

- Compute eigenvalues and eigenvectors of matrices.
- Precisely define what it means to diagonalize a matrix.
- Correctly diagonalize matrices!

 ${\bf As ~ you're getting settled: }$  o Test 2 marking is still ongoing (soccy).

- You'll (hopefully) have all of the material for Homework 10 after today.
- Reflection 12 will be available at the end of our class today.
- Please fill out the CES! I appreciate your feedback.
- Friday, July 23 Office Hours will be  $12:00-1:00$  pm instead of 11:30-12:30.

Section 6.2

 $Diagonalization$  We know:  $A_{v_i}^* = \partial_{v_{i+1}}^* A_{v_i}^* = -\partial_{v_{i+1}}^* A_{v_i}^* = -\partial_{v_{i+1}}^* A_{v_i}^* = -\partial_{v_{i+1}}^* A_{v_i}^*$ 

For 
$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 12 & -6 \ 0 & -3 & 0 \ 2 & -2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
, the basis  $\mathcal{B} = \begin{Bmatrix} 2 \ 0 \ 1 \end{Bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \ 1 \ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \ 0 \ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  was  
"nice" Let's absolute on that idea

Since . Let S étabotate of t that Idea.

\nLet 
$$
P = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{v_1} & \frac{1}{v_2} & \frac{1}{v_3} \\ \frac{1}{v_3} & \frac{1}{v_4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$
. We can compute AP:

\nAP =  $\begin{bmatrix} x_1^T & x_1^T & x_1^T & \frac{1}{v_3} \\ \frac{1}{v_3} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Since B is a box for R<sup>3</sup>, P is invertible. Since  $P^{-1}P = I_3$ .

\nWe have  $P^{-1}\vec{v}_1 = \vec{e}_1$  ( $P^{-1}[\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_3] = [\vec{e}_1\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_1\vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_1\vec{v}_3] = [\vec{e}_1\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3]$ .

\nThus,  $P^{-1}AP = [3P^{-1}\vec{v}_1] = 3P^{-1}\vec{v}_2$  and  $[\vec{v}_1\vec{v}_2] = 3P^{-1}\vec{v}_2$  and  $[\vec{v}_2\vec{v}_3] = 3P^{-1}\vec{v}_3$  and  $[\vec{v}_3\vec{v}_3] = 3P^{-1}\vec{v}_2$  and  $[\vec{v}_3\vec{v}_3] = 3P^{-1}\vec{v}_3$  and  $[\$ 

We see that  $P'AP$  indicates how A "really" interacts with  $R^3$ , when we consider the "important" directions for  $A(\vec{v}, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_3)$ . In terms of coordinates: if  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \end{bmatrix}_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \end{bmatrix}_{C_1}$ , then  $\begin{bmatrix} 4 \end{bmatrix}_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 3c_1 \ -3c_2 \ 4c_3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Definition.** Let  $A, B \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{R})$ . We say that A and B are *similar*  $p.361$ When there exists an invertible matrix  $\rho$  such that  $p \nmid \rho \rho = \rho_0$  (or  $\rho \rho = \rho_0$ ).

- **Theorem** (6.2.1). Let  $A, B \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{R})$  be similar matrices. Then  $p.361$ A and B have:
	- 3. The same rank (and nullily) 1. The same determinent
	- 2. The same eigenvalues

 $4.$  The same trace (the sum of the TL-BR diagonal orthox).

## Example.

For  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 10 & -6 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $D = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ :  $dd: -6$ , e-vals: 2,-3,1, rank = 3, trace = 0  $\sqrt{ }$  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $F = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , for  $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  we have  $EC = [90]$  or  $OE = [30]$ .  $def(Q)=Q\neq O$ , so  $Q \nightharpoonup$  invertible, so  $E$  and  $F$  are similar! => det = 0; cigenvalues are  $Q_iO_j$  rank = 1; trace = 2.

**Definition.** Let  $A \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{R})$ . We say that A is *diagonalizable*  $P.361$ when A is similar to a diagonal matrix. In other words, there exists an Invertible matrix P and a diagonal matrix D such that P<sup>-1</sup> AP=D.

## Example. A is diagonolizable! With  $P = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 3 \ 0 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $D = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 3 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . ... Has can use tell, in general?

Theorem (6.2.2). *Let A* 2 *Mn,n*(R)*.* <sup>p</sup>.<sup>362</sup> <sup>A</sup> is diagonalisable Cover <sup>R</sup>) if and only if there is a biois for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of e-vecs for A.

> $Proof\ idea.$   $(\equiv )$  If  $p$ <sup>1</sup>AP=D for some  $P$ = $\left[\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{i_1,\cdots,\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathsf{n}}}\right]$ ,  $\Downarrow$ m  $\left[\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{i_1,\cdots,\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathsf{n}}} \right]$  is a bois 0f eigenretors!  $(2 \leq)$  If  $\{ \vec{r}_1, ..., \vec{r}_n \}$  is a bois of e-vecs (for a), then set  $P = [\vec{r}_1, ..., \vec{r}_n]$ .

> > $^0$   $\Box$

Procedure. To diagonalize a matrix *A* (if possible):

- 1. Compute e-Vals for A (voing characteristic polynomia) CA(2))
- $2.$  For each  $e$ -Val  $\lambda$ , compute a brois for  $\mathcal{E}_\mathsf{A}(\lambda)$ .

3. If you don't have enough LI e-vecs (or thre are compute-vals), this A is not diagonalizable (over R). Decision Point { O. It you don't live enough LI created the remains . The filters is the columns.<br>Q 4. Oftwarder A is diagonalizable. Put the LI e-vector into a matrix P as columns.

4.

5. D=P<sup>1</sup>AP is a diagonal matrix whose entries are the e-vals of A corresponding to the Columns of P.<br>5. (), A hard the compute <sup>pri</sup>AP exicate to check any answers). (don't heed to compute P'AP except to check our answers).

**Theorem.** Let  $A \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{R})$ . p. 363

> $(6.2.3)$  *A is diagonalizable if and only if* for each e-val  $\lambda$  of A, the algebriac and geometric multiplicities of  $\lambda$  are equal.

*(6.2.4) If A has n distinct (real) eigenvalues, then* <sup>A</sup> is diagonalisable . ( over R).

**Example.** Let  $G = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Determine whether or not G is diagonalizable, and if it is, find an invertible matrix  $\boldsymbol{P}$  and a diagonal matrix D such that  $P^{-1}GP = D$ . 1). Find  $e$ -vals!  $de{f}(G - \lambda I_3) =$  $\int e^{x} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} -1-x & 0 & -2 \ 0 & 1-x & 0 \ 0 & 0 & -x \end{array} \right] \stackrel{def}{=} (1-x) \int e^{x} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} -3 & -1-x \ -3 & -1-x \end{array} \right] = (1-x) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} x^2 + 2x + 1 - 4 \ 0 & 0 & -1-x \end{array} \right]$ =  $(1-\lambda)(\lambda^2 + \lambda\lambda + 3) = (1-\lambda)(\lambda + 3)(\lambda - 1)$  $= -(\lambda - 1)^{2}(\lambda + 3) = 0$  $a)$ . Find  $c$ -vecs!  $y=3$ :  $\begin{bmatrix} -3 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 3 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$   $\frac{d^{6}y}{d^{6}}$   $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  =  $5 \text{ kg } w = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .<br>  $y=3$ :  $\begin{bmatrix} 9 & 8 & -9 \\ 9 & 9 & -3 \\ 9 & 9 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$   $\frac{d^{6}y}{d^{6}y}$   $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1$  $3/4$ ). By Thorem 6.2.3, alg mult = geo mult for all ervals, so G  $12$  diagonalizable! 5).  $P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is invertible, and  $P^4GP = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ .

**Example.** Let  $H = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ . Determine whether or not H is diagonalizable, and if it is, find an invertible matrix  $P$  and a diagonal matrix D such that  $P^{-1}HP = D$ . 1) Find e-vals: del  $(H - \lambda I_2) =$  del  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7-2 \\ 0 & 7-2 \end{bmatrix} = \lambda^2 - 10\lambda + 21 + 4 = \lambda^2 - 10\lambda + 25 = (\lambda - 5)^9 = 0$ .  $\Rightarrow \lambda = 5$  (alg mult=2). a)  $F_{ind}$   $g_H(z)$ :  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$   $\xrightarrow{f_{ind}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  =>  $g_H(z) = \text{span} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 3) alg mult of 2=5 > geo mult of 2=5, threfore it is not diagonalizable. "

Applications: Section 6.3<br>Example. Let  $K = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ . Show that K is diagonalizable. What is  $K^{10}$ ? 1) del  $(k-\lambda I_0) = det \begin{bmatrix} 3-\lambda & 1 \\ 5 & 7-\lambda \end{bmatrix} = \lambda^2 - 10\lambda + 35 - 5 = \lambda^2 - 10\lambda + 16 = (\lambda - 8)^1(\lambda - 2) = 0.$ =>  $2e^{-8.2}$ , both 4) alg mult=1. => K is diagonalizable by, Theorem 6.2.4.  $g(x) = 8$ :  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  (b)  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 2$   $\begin{bmatrix} 6 \ k \end{bmatrix} = 5$  poin  $\begin{bmatrix} 6 \ 1 \ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  (c)  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/5 \ 1 & -1/5 \end{bmatrix}$  $\lambda = 0 : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 5 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{op}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad \text{Sym} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$  $D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ 8 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ 8 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ 8 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ 8 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $T = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $T = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 &$  $= p \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2^{10} \end{array} \right] p^{-1}$ I could used "n" instead or "10"! General!